REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register:	16 November 1995
Name of applicant/court:	Comptroller of Customs
Applicant to the Labelling Body:	Not Applicable
Title of Publication:	Private Video Volume 5
Other Known Titles:	Not Stated
Director:	Ralf Flash
Producer:	Not Stated
Publisher:	Not Applicable
Author:	Not Applicable
Format:	Video Recording VHS/PAL
Country of Origin:	Germany
Language:	German

● ●

Components of film originally examined:

Feature:	Private Video Volume 5	Running time:	95.41
Trailers:	Nil	Running time:	
		Total Running time:	95.41

Excision/Alteration: No

Reasons(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

● ●

… Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

● ●

Direction to issue a label has not been given.

● ●

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The video recording is based around the explicit display of a variety of sexual activities between women and men, largely set in a medical clinic. The sexual activities include vaginal intercourse, fellatio and cunnilingus, as well as alternative sexual practices such as vaginal fisting and SM relationships.

The presence of several scenes of urolagnia mean that the publication tends to support the use of urine in association with sexual conduct. In accordance with s3(2)(d), the presence of these scenes render the publication objectionable.

In accordance with s32 of the Act, the Classification Office has considered the possibility that excising the scenes of urolagnia would lead to a classification other than objectionable. Several scenes of the video recording sexualise medical examinations and the use of medical instruments, in a way that presents the abuse of professional relationships between medical practitioners and patients as sexual entertainment. The consequent legitimisation of such abuse, and of sexual misconduct on the part of medical practitioners, means that the availability of the publication is likely to be injurious to the public good.

The publication was submitted by the Comptroller of Customs. A submission from the importers states that they intend to distribute the publication commercially. In view of this fact, and of the matters noted above, the publication is classified as objectionable.

OFLC Ref: 9500675